

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,355	12/21/2001	Rajamani Ganesh	01-4067	5000	
32127	32127 7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER	
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE MAILCODE HQEO3H14			DOAN, KIET M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2683	2	
IRVING, TX	75038		DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	LA-R-S-N-	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summers	10/032,355	GANESH, RAJAMANI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kiet Doan	2683
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	December 2001.	
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	/are: a) accepted or b) objec e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	j.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/01. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawing in this application is objected to by he draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84(I)/(P). Correct drawing is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Wallace (Patent No.
 6,463,272).

Consider **claim 1** Wallace disclosed a method for providing a current location of a wireless communication device in a wireless communication network comprising: receiving a request for said current location from a requesting party (Col 3, Lines 45-54) verifying that said requesting party is an authorized party; when said requesting party is said authorized party (Col 4, Lines 17-21) activating a location determination process to determine said

Art Unit: 2683

current location of said wireless communication device; and supplying an indicator of said current location to said requesting party (Abstract. Col 4, Lines 21-28).

Consider **claim 10**, Wallace disclosed a method wherein said current location is identified by latitude and a longitude (Col 1, Lines 55-65).

Consider **claims 11 and 20**, Wallace disclosed a method as claimed in claim 10 further comprising translating said latitude and said longitude into said indicator of said current location (Abstract. Col 3. Lines 65-67. Col 4, Lines 1-5).

Consider **claim 12**, Wallace disclosed a method wherein said supplying operation comprises displaying said indicator of said current location on a map of a geographical area in which said wireless communication device is positioned (Col 4, Lines 28-32, Lines 62-67).

Consider **claim 13**, Wallace disclosed a method wherein said supplying operation comprises providing said indicator of said current location via a web page (Col 4, Lines 28-33).

Consider **claim 15**, Wallace disclosed a method further comprising periodically repeating said activating and supplying operations to track a movement of said wireless communication device (Col 5, Lines 6-10).

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims **2**, **3**, **4**, **14**, **18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace (Patent No. 6,463,272) in view of Tanaka (Patent No. 6,731,928).

Consider claim 2, Wallace teach the invention but fails to teach comprising establishing, prior to said receiving operation, a user profile for said wireless communication device, said user profile containing an identifier for said authorized party. In an analogous art, Tanaka teaches "Method for providing matching and introduction services to proximate mobile users and service".

Further, Tanaka teaches that comprising establishing, prior to said receiving operation, a user profile for said wireless communication device, said user profile containing an identifier for said authorized party (Col 2, lines 19-22. Col 5, Lines 55-67, Fig.2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that person having ordinary skill in the art to include, within the Wallace

Art Unit: 2683

system, establish profile, as taught by Tanaka in order to provide the geographic/current location of the users.

Consider **claim 3**, Tanaka further teaches wherein said verifying operation comprises matching a requesting party identifier of said requesting party with said identifier of said authorized party (Col 2. Lines 15-19. Col 6, Lines 46-55).

Consider **claim 4**, Tanaka further teaches comprising detecting, prior to said receiving operation, a log-in attempt at a web page of a service provider of said wireless communication network, said request being received via said web page following successful completion of said log-in attempt (Col 2, Lines 45-49. Col 3, Lines 25-60).

Consider **claims 14, 17 and 22**, Tanaka further disclosed a method wherein said supplying operation includes presenting a time at which said wireless communication device is at said current location (Col 2, lines 49-57).

Consider claims 16 and 21, Tanaka further disclosed a method for supplying a current location of a wireless communication device within a wireless communication network, said method comprising:

providing a first web page having a requester log-in section (Col 2, Lines 45-49)

following detection of a successful log-in attempt at said requester log-in section,

Art Unit: 2683

providing a second web page having a device identifier field (Col 3, Lines 39-60) and following detection of a device identifier of said wireless communication device in said device identifier field, providing a third web page having a map of a geographical area overlaid with an indicator of said current location, said current location being determined by activating a location determination process (Col 6, Lines 23-37).

Consider **claim 23**, Tanaka further disclosed computer-readable storage wherein said executable code further instructs said server system to perform operations comprising: determining whether said requesting party is an authorized party by accessing a user profile (Col 5, Lines 55-65) for said wireless communication device, said user profile containing an identifier for said authorized party (Col 5, Lines 5-10) and providing an access denial web page when a requesting party identifier of said requesting party fails to match said identifier of said authorized party (Col 3, Lines 60-65).

3. Claims **5**, **6**, **7**, **9** and **19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace (Patent No. 6,463,272) in view of Tanaka (Patent No. 6,731,928) applied above, and further view of Chern (Patent No. 6,609,005).

Art Unit: 2683

Consider **claim 5**, Wallace and Tanaka are disclosed all the limitation of the invention as explain above but fails to teaches wherein said request includes a dialing number of said wireless communication device. In an analogous art, Chern disclosed "System and method for displaying the location of a wireless communication device wiring a universal resource locator". Chern further disclosed wherein said request includes a dialing number of said wireless communication device (Col 8, lines 3-10, Fig. 4).

Therefor, It would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that a person having ordinary skill in the art would include a dialing number of said wireless communication device to promote efficient use.

Consider **claims 6 and 19**, Chern further disclosed a method wherein said activating operation comprises: predicting a potential location area of said wireless communication device; and initiating said location determination process in said potential location area (Col 5, Lines 48-60).

Consider **claim 7**, Chern further disclosed a method wherein said predicting operation comprises determining said potential location area in response to wireless communication between said wireless communication device and a transceiver site of said wireless communication network (Col 5, lines 60-67).

Art Unit: 2683

Consider **claim 9**, Chern further disclosed a method wherein said service provider maintains a comprehensive call history database of said wireless communication network, said comprehensive call history database including call records of past calls in which said wireless communication device participated, and said determining operation comprises ascertaining said potential location area from said comprehensive call history database. (Fig. 2, No 138. Col 7, Lines 5-45)

Consider claim 23, Chern further disclosed computer-readable storage wherein said executable code further instructs said server system to perform operations comprising: determining whether said requesting party is an authorized party by accessing a user profile (Col 5, Lines 55-65) for said wireless communication device, said user profile containing an identifier for said authorized party; and providing an access denial web page when a requesting party identifier of said requesting party fails to match said identifier of said authorized party.

4. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallace (Patent No. 6,463,272) in view of Tanaka (Patent No. 6,731,928) and in

Art Unit: 2683

view of Chern (Patent No. 6,609,005) applied above, and further view of Beamish (Patent No. 6,694,143).

Consider claim **8**, Wallace, Tanaka, Chern are disclosed the invention but fails to teaches a method wherein said determining operation comprises ascertaining a coverage area in which said wireless communication device is currently located, said coverage area being said potential location area. In an analogous art, Beamish disclosed "System for using a local wireless network to control a device within range of the network". Further, Beamish teaches wherein said determining operation comprises ascertaining a coverage area in which said wireless communication device is currently located, said coverage area being said potential location area (Col 1, Lines 64-67).

Therefore, It would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made that a person having ordinary skill in the art to include, within the Wallace, Tanaka and Chern system, coverage within range, as taught by Beamish to motivate the wireless communication device of the users when it in coverage area so it can be activate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 703-305-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

Art Unit: 2683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

Patent Examiner

06-14-2004

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**